

Functional Calculi of Families of Matrices and Operators

Alan McIntosh

Centre for Mathematics and its Applications
Australian National University
Canberra, ACT 2001, Australia

9–10 June, 2006

There are many ways to form a function f of a matrix L , or more generally of an operator L in a Banach space \mathcal{X} . If f is the polynomial $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N c_k z^k$, then just define $f(L) = \sum_{k=0}^N c_k L^k$. Slightly more generally, suppose a holomorphic function f is defined by $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ when $|z| < R$, where the radius of convergence R is larger than the norm $\|L\|$ of L . Then set $f(L) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k L^k$. Much more general is the Riesz–Dunford functional calculus. Suppose f is a holomorphic function defined on a neighbourhood Ω of the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of L . The spectrum is the compact set of all λ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} for which the resolvent $R_L(\lambda) = (\lambda I - L)^{-1}$ does not exist as a bounded operator on \mathcal{X} . (For a matrix L , this is just the set of eigenvalues of L .) Then define $f(L) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} R_L(\zeta) f(\zeta) d\zeta$ where γ is a curve in Ω which winds anti-clockwise around $\sigma(L)$. These definitions are all consistent, they have natural properties such as $(fg)(L) = f(L)g(L)$ and $\sigma(f(L)) = f(\sigma(L))$, and moreover are useful in a variety of contexts. A particular mapping $f \mapsto f(L)$ is called a functional calculus of L .

When $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n)$ is a commuting family of matrices or operators, then it is clear how to define $f(\mathbf{L})$ when f is a polynomial or power series in n variables. However there are various approaches to defining a joint spectrum $\sigma(\mathbf{L})$ of L , and to constructing a functional calculus of \mathbf{L} . When the operators satisfy the property that $\sigma(\sum \xi_j L_j) \subset \mathbb{R}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then one possibility is to use Clifford algebras, and to replace the use of the Cauchy integral in the Riesz–Dunford functional calculus by a higher dimensional Clifford–Cauchy integral.

When the operators L_j do not commute with one another, then it becomes more difficult to construct a reasonable theory. Nevertheless, this is important. For example, the Weyl functional calculus was introduced to consider functions of position (Q_j) and momentum (P_j) under the canonical commutation relations $Q_j P_j - P_j Q_j = i\hbar I$ of quantum theory. In the Weyl functional calculus, one takes symmetric products in forming polynomials. For example, if $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, L_2)$ and $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$, then $f(\mathbf{L}) = \frac{1}{2}(L_1 L_2 + L_2 L_1)$. The Clifford approach generalizes quite well to this context, though not easily, and gives us the opportunity to extend the Weyl functional calculus to more general situations, and to identify a type of spectral set as the support of the functional calculus.

A seminal paper on commuting operators is: Alan McIntosh and Alan Pryde, *A functional calculus for several commuting operators*, Indiana University Math. Journal **36** (1987), 421–439; while a seminal paper on non-commuting operators is: Brian Jefferies, Alan McIntosh and James Picton–Warlow, *The monogenic functional calculus*, Studia Mathematica **136** (1999), 99–119.

A readable book on this material which contains the background and all the latest results is: Brian Jefferies, *Spectral properties of noncommuting operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1843**, Springer–Verlag, 2004.